

1
2
3
4
5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
7

8 MARGOT CAMP et al.,

No. C -13-03386(EDL)

9 Plaintiffs,

**ORDER REGARDING PLAINTIFF'S
REQUEST FOR A CLARIFICATION**

10 v.

11 JEFFREY P ALEXANDER DDS, et al,

12 Defendants.
13 _____/

14 Plaintiffs in this putative wage-and-hour class action have filed a request for clarification of
15 the Court's order of February 14, 2014 that, among other things, granted Plaintiffs' Motion for
16 Leave to File an Amended Complaint. Docket No. 92. Plaintiffs ask that the Court clarify which
17 claims under California's Private Attorney General Act ("PAGA") they have been granted leave to
18 file. Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File an Amended Complaint requested that they be allowed to:
19 add claims under California Business and Professions Code § 17200; add PAGA claims against the
20 individual Defendants, Jeffrey P. Alexander and Mary Jane Salazar; add a PAGA claim against the
21 corporate Defendant based on Labor Code violations not addressed in the DLSE citations; and
22 correct a typographical error. See Docket No. 16 at 2. The Court granted Plaintiffs' motion.
23 Therefore, Plaintiffs are entitled to amend their Complaint in the manner laid out in their Motion for
24 Leave to Amend, which includes both the PAGA claims that would have been barred by the DLSE
25 citations in place at the time the motion was filed and the additional claims laid out in the motion.
26 However, the Court will not anticipate what action, if any, Defendants may take in response to the
27 amended complaint Plaintiffs file.

28 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Dated: February 25, 2014

Elizabeth D. Laporte

ELIZABETH D. LAPORTE
United States Chief Magistrate Judge